

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/699,371	10/31/2000	Hajime Ito	06753.0386	7820	
22852	7590 07/02/2002				
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER		
			HERNANDEZ, OLGA		
WASHINGIC	JN, DC 20003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3661		
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/699,371	ITO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Olga Hernandez	3661	<u>'</u>		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this commodities  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 .</u>	lune 2002				
·	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the n	narite is		
closed in accordance with the practice under  Disposition of Claims			ileilis is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,<del>11-and</del> 21</u> is/are pending in the app	plication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-10,12-20</u> is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1//খ2/ is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	т.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	pted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	ıminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		\ <u></u>			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/13/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Due to the fact that the restriction requirement was made final. The applicant needs to submit a petition to the Supervisory Patent Examiner of record in order to consider if the restriction requirements are proper or not. Therefore, the amended non-elected claims are not considered by the examiner. Regarding the allowability of the claims, it has been noted by the examiner that the proposed amendment submitted in the interview contains different subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/699,371

Art Unit: 3661

3. Claims 1, 11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dominike et al (6,154,688).

As per claims 1, 11 and 21, Dominike discloses:

- integrating the diversified pieces of information (column 2, lines 43-51);
- providing each of the integrated pieces of information with a priority order indicating an importance of each piece of information (figures 2-6) and
- when one or more pieces of information are processed in the vehicle,
   allocating one or more appropriate resources selected from a plurality of
   diversified resources to the integrated pieces of information according to the
   priority order given to the integrated pieces of information (column 2, lines
   52-67).

## Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3661

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Olga Hernandez

Examiner Art Unit 3661

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOG: CENTER 3600